

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/809,519 | 03/26/2004 | Fumihiro Shiraishi | Q80709 | 7537 | |
| 23373 SUGHRUE M | 7590 03/16/2007 ION, PLLC | EXAMINER | | | |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | MULCAHY, PETER D | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 1713 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | ÷ | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/16/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| \mathcal{K} | |
|---------------|--|
| U | |

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|------------------|------------------|
| 10/809,519 | SHIRAISHI ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Peter D. Mulcahy | 1713 |

| Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Brief | | OTHIO GOTH ET AE: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Peter D. Mulcahy | 1713 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered be | ecause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finelly rei | antad alaima | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mnliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | 1: | mphant / monament (| ,1 10L-02 -1). | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | nt canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended. | II be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . | | | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | It before or on the date of filing a North day the affiday of sufficient reasons why the affiday of the sufficient reasons why the affiday of the sufficient reasons who sufficient reasons which is the sufficient reasons wh | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a | | | | | | | |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11 The request for reconsideration has been assisted that the NOT the state of the sta | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | A Mulad | / | | | | |
| | | Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner | | | | | |
| | | Art Unit: 1713 / | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The exclusion of the previously prosecuted species and cancelation of the claims directed thereto raises new issues..